



Mandate opening cross-entity access

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Rouen ↔ Bourges · Vireuil plant contract · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **This is not a technical setting, it is a mandate.** Two legally separate entities communicate files: the communication rests on a written purpose, a capped perimeter, named recipients, a duration and a right of withdrawal. **The agent never opens it on its own.**

Subject of the mandate

Heading	Content
Communicating entity	Sercom Hydro (Bourges)
Receiving entity	Vaudrey Industrie (Rouen)
Purpose, and that alone	Monitoring execution of the joint Vireuil plant contract
Capped perimeter	214 execution files of that contract, listed by name at the head of the mandate

Heading	Content
Explicitly outside the perimeter	Bourges suppliers, Bourges staff, Bourges's other projects
Duration	90 days — expiring 31/12/2026
Renewal	By fresh written act only — never by tacit renewal

Named recipients — four people, not a department

Name	Role	What they may open	What they may not
Marc Vessières	Works supervisor, Rouen	The 214 files	Any other Bourges project
Aline Perreux	Process engineer, Rouen	The 214 files	Same
Samir Delcourt	Methods engineer, Rouen	The 214 files	Same
Hélène Cassin	Quality manager, Rouen	The 214 files	Same

Traceability and withdrawal

Guarantee	Arrangement
Logging	Every file opening is logged: who, when, which file
Statement	Sent monthly to both entity directors
Withdrawal	One word from either director closes access within the minute, with no reason to be given
Automatic closure	31/12/2026 at midnight, with no reminder needed
Reopening	Within the minute, on a fresh written act from both directors

What the mandate shifts

Step	Without a template	With this template
Building the file	6 weeks , mostly working out who writes what	40 minutes
Access effective after signature	Several days	10 minutes
Requests pending in the group	7	Handled within a day

Signatures — three, on a one-page document

Director of Sercom Hydro (Bourges), date and signature: _____

Director of Vaudrey Industrie (Rouen), date and signature: _____

Group data protection officer sign-off: _____

Until all three signatures are gathered, no file is accessible. The mandate is built, costed and ready: **the decision belongs to the two entity directors, never to the agent.**

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.