



The entity boundary — what crosses, what never does

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Two quarters logged · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **An entity director may communicate a document to another entity: they are responsible for their data and sign their decision. The agent has no such power** — otherwise a director's decision would become a side effect of configuration.

What the agent does, and what it does in no circumstances

Action	The agent	Reason
Read and write within one entity's perimeter	Yes	Six agents, six perimeters
Count operations for the group	Yes	Counters, never content

Action	The agent	Reason
Prepare a transmission requested by a director	Yes — document, covering slip, recipient	The director sends it, under their name
Open access between two entities on its own initiative	Never	A governance decision, with the formalities the GDPR requires between separate entities
Copy content up to group level	Never	The group is not the controller of that data
Answer a head-office question by reading at a subsidiary	Never	That would be a crossing dressed up as an answer

What the log shows over two quarters

Measure	Value
Logged operations, six entities	18,400
Content having crossed an entity boundary	0
Cross-entity access requests received	7
Requests executed without a written mandate	0
Decisions taken without human validation	0

The monthly isolation test — 72 attempts that must fail

Attempt launched from an entity	Expected result	Actual result
Read another entity's project file	Refusal	Refusal — 6/6
Look up another entity's supplier	Refusal	Refusal — 6/6
Open another entity's access log	Refusal	Refusal — 6/6

Attempt launched from an entity	Expected result	Actual result
Search a client name outside its perimeter	Refusal	Refusal — 6/6
List another entity's users	Refusal	Refusal — 6/6
Export a counter broken down to file level	Refusal	Refusal — 6/6
Total	72 refusals	72 refusals

Isolation that is asserted can be argued with; isolation tested every month can be shown. The test report goes to the group data protection officer **without anyone having to ask**, and can be written into the service agreement as a monthly deliverable.

Isolation test written into the service agreement (yes / no): _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.