Mapping of the six entities and deployment order

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

Vaudrey Group · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The mapping covers PROCESSING, never content.** It is built from the six records of processing declared by the entities and the 214 application flows identified — **no file, no name, no extract was read to produce it.**

The gap that decides the architecture

Measured finding	Value	What it implies
Document processing shared by all six entities	**71 %**	**Architecture can be pooled**
Data shared by all six entities	**0 %**	**Content is never pooled**
Legally separate entities	6	Six controllers, six perimeters
Application flows identified	214	Basis of the connection plan

The six entities

Entity	Location	ERP	Monthly volume	Route chosen
Vaudrey Industrie · industrial head office	Rouen	ERP A	1,900 documents	**Local inference** (client drawings under confidentiality agreements)
Sercom Hydro	Bourges	ERP A	1,100 documents	Isolated resource hosted in France
Vaudrey Services	Lyon	ERP C	900 documents	Isolated resource hosted in France
Atelier Loiret	Orléans	ERP C	700 documents	Isolated resource hosted in France
Vaudrey Benelux	Namur (Belgium)	ERP B	500 documents	**Isolated resource hosted in France**
Hidrotec Ibérica	Zaragoza (Spain)	ERP B	300 documents	**Isolated resource hosted in France**

> **Namur and Zaragoza sit outside France; their data does not.** Both entities are served by isolated resources **hosted in France, under French law**: **0 data outside the European Union**, architecture designed to reduce exposure to extraterritorial legislation, location alone not being enough to guarantee immunity.

Proposed deployment order, and the reason for each rank

Rank	Entity	Reason for the rank	Expected workload
Done	Rouen	Pilot site — it produced the platform	**84 person-days, 22 weeks**
1	**Bourges**	**Same ERP as Rouen: tests the platform fastest**	**12 person-days, 3 weeks**

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Rank	Entity	Reason for the rank	Expected workload
2	Orléans	First new ERP — connector to be written	19 person-days (actual), 5 weeks
3	Lyon	Benefits from the connector written for Orléans	**12 person-days, 3 weeks**
4	Namur	ERP B plus its own public holiday calendar	12 person-days, 3 weeks
5	Zaragoza	ERP B already connected by Namur	12 person-days, 3 weeks

What the proposed order saves

Item	Without reusable platform	With reusable platform	Gap
Workload of one site (share of project)	**65 %**	**9 %**	Page benchmark, carried across exactly
Person-days per site	84	**12**	**−72 person-days**
Five remaining entities	420 person-days	**60 person-days**	**−360 person-days**
At €520 fully-loaded per day	€218,400	€31,200	**−€187,200**

> **This gain is taken once.** It is used up by the sixth entity and must not be written into the following year's budget. **The recurring gain is of a different nature** and appears in the programme review.

For the group IT department to arbitrate

Entity chosen for rank 1: _____

Number of use cases opened at go-live (3 or 6): _____

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Request a demo with your own documents

This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.

https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/entreprises/cas-usage/systeme-multi-agents-souverain-multi-sites

---

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.