



38 discrepancies between the PIM and the manuals

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Raised, none settled · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The agent does not choose between two of the company's technical sources while no rule allows it to.** It classifies, it quantifies, and it proposes a rule — **written once, it resolves 31 of the 38 discrepancies and holds for all 340 references.**

The 38, classified by nature

Nature of the discrepancy	Number	Example	Risk level
Unit discrepancies	12	PIM: « 40 l/h » · manual: « 0.67 l/min »	Low — same value, two notations
Value discrepancies	9	Two different figures for the same characteristic	High — both are already live

Nature of the discrepancy	Number	Example	Risk level
Obsolete references	7	PIM citing a fitting withdrawn in 2023	Medium
Divergent normative statements	10	A standard cited in two different versions	High — commits a conformity

The rule proposed, and its measured effect

Rule	Discrepancies resolved without arbitration	Discrepancies left for the engineering office
« For a technical characteristic, the most recent source signed by the engineering office prevails; the older source is corrected, not ignored. »	31 out of 38	7 normative statements — one meeting

The 31 in detail: the 12 unit discrepancies, the 9 value discrepancies — **in 7 cases out of 9 the manual is later than the PIM** —, the 7 obsolete references and 3 statements whose most recent index is unambiguous.

What the agent would then do, and what it would never do

Action	With the rule written	Without the rule
Use the most recent value in the page	Yes	No — the discrepancy is raised as it stands
Flag the source left wrong to the engineering office	Yes, systematically	Yes — a discrepancy fixed in the page remains one in the manual the customer downloads
Correct the manual itself	No	No

Action	With the rule written	Without the rule
Choose between two versions of a standard	Never	Never — a conformity is certified, it is not inferred from a file date

That last point is not caution: it is the engineering office's signature that commits the company in front of a customer and in front of a public buyer. **An agent settling a version of a standard would produce a document nobody has signed.**

To be written into the guide by the company

Priority rule between technical sources, adopted on: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.