Safety rules applied — four industrial cases

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

Three priorities raised, none lowered · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document. Illustrative scores from the demonstration policy *demo-policy-1.0*. **A rule raises a priority; it never lowers it silently and it does not touch the score.**

Case	Asset	Safety impact	Patch	Rollback	Score	Priority from the threshold alone	Priority shown	Rule
OT-F01	remote-maintenance gateway	0.7	published	ready	**100**	Immediate review	**Immediate review**	PR-KEV-001
OT-F03	line 2 engineering workstation	**0.8**	published	**missing**	60	Planned	**Expedited**	**PR-OT-HIGH-001**

Case	Asset	Safety impact	Patch	Rollback	Score	Priority from the threshold alone	Priority shown	Rule
OT-F02	packaging controller no. 7	**1.0**	**none**	ready	56	Planned	**Expedited**	**PR-OT-HIGH-001**
OT-F04	site historian	0.4	published	ready	49	**Monitor**	**Planned**	**PR-OT-SAFE-001**

What the two rules say

Rule	Condition	Effect
PR-OT-HIGH-001	safety impact ≥ 0.8 **AND** (no patch **OR** rollback missing)	floor of « expedited »
PR-OT-SAFE-001	safety impact ≥ 0.4	floor of « planned »
PR-KEV-001	confirmed match **AND** known exploitation	floor of « immediate review »

Score and priority stay two distinct things, and that is what makes the decision readable. OT-F04 keeps a score of **49** — neither raised nor disguised — and shows a « planned » priority with the name of the rule that produced it. You see the calculated risk AND the safety decision added to it.

Who holds the weights, the thresholds and the rules: an **authorised role in your organisation**, on a **versioned and tested** policy — *demo-policy-1.0* here. The agent applies that policy, displays the identifier of every rule that raised a priority, and

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

drafts the new rule when you ask for one, with its identifier, its explanation and its test set. **No conversation changes a weight: that is what makes your safety ranking defensible in front of a customer and in front of an inspector.**

> **Request a demo with your own documents**
> This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.
> https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/entreprises/cas-usage/agent-vulnerabilites-correctifs-priorisation-remediation

---

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales