



Mitigation case — packaging controller no. 7

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

No patch published · two signatures awaited · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, pending approval. An unavailable patch leads to mitigation and monitoring, never to a closure. The case is re-examined on its date; the measures below are an interim state, not a solution.

Item	Value
Advisory	DEMO-CVE-003 — affected range 2.0.x, no patch published
Asset	automate-conditionnement-7 — service « Packaging », owner: control engineer
Safety impact	1.0 — maximum value
Score	56 — priority raised to « expedited » by PR-OT-HIGH-001

The three measures, with what they reduce and what they do not

Measure	What it reduces	What it does not reduce	Expected evidence
Restriction of the administration path	Administrative access from anywhere but the authorised workstation	Access from the authorised workstation itself	Dated configuration record
Targeted monitoring of access to the controller	The time to detect an unexpected access	Nothing: it observes, it does not prevent	Dated log extract
Removal from the segment reachable from office workstations	The path from the office network	A path from the industrial network itself	Dated segmentation diagram

The two signatures, and why they are not interchangeable

Signatory	What they approve	Why them
Quality and safety manager	The effect of the measures on operator safety	A fault on this equipment reaches a person
Production manager	Implementation on a line running three shifts	Any change affects how the line runs

Dual approval enabled on assets with a high safety impact. It is configurable; it is active here. **The agent can be the approver of no case it prepared**, and it assigns itself neither of the two roles above.

The request to the maker is drafted and NOT SENT: affected version, whether a patch is planned, expected date. Three items, no exploitable detail. **It goes out when you approve it**, and its response deadline comes from your policy, not from the agent.

Controller, maker, measures and signatories invented for the demonstration. They illustrate a common situation; they describe no real installation and are not a security

recommendation for your site.

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.