



Evidence request to the shared identity provider

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Draft prepared — not sent · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, NOT SENT. The letter is prepared and awaits approval by the head-office IT manager. **The agent sends nothing to a third party on its own initiative.**

What the request contains, and nothing else

Item requested	Expected form	Why it is asked
Version in service of the identity service	exact version string, as deployed	Without it, no match can be confirmed or ruled out
Date of the last deployment	date	A version declared without a date does not say whether it is still in service
Status of DEMO-CVE-F03 on that version	dated, signed exploitability statement	It is the only document that supports a « not affected » conclusion without access to the machine

The framing of the request

Item	Value
Basis	Group framework contract , security clause — reference to be completed by the legal department
Recipient	Framework-contract owner at the provider, taken from the group provider register
Sender	Head-office IT manager — the agent prepares, it does not sign
Response deadline	set by the customer's policy , not by the agent
Escalation prepared	Chief financial officer, then the group legal department
Effect on the case	Closure stays blocked until the evidence is received. The block carries its date.

What the request does not contain: no host address, no account identifier, no secret, no exploitable detail. An evidence request is not an audit report, and it travels to a third party.

The provider, the contract and the contact are invented for the demonstration. They illustrate a common situation in a holding company with shared identity services; they describe no real provider.

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.