



Contract analysis and draft clause

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

213 contracts read, 206 excluded with reasons, 7 retained · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. Contracts, suppliers and expiry dates are invented. **No write is made in any real system** and no contract is amended: the draft clause is a text for review, and the negotiation belongs to the client.

How the 213 contracts were sorted

Search criterion	Contracts hit	What the criterion looks for
Supply of an AI component or a model	6	Contract subject, technical annexes, attached purchase orders
Automated processing of applications	1	Recruitment services with a tool operated by the provider
Technical documentation duty	2 (already counted)	Documentation clause, whatever its heading
None of these criteria	206	Exclusion reason written contract by contract — see the next table

LINDBERGH FORMATION — a simplified joint-stock company with share capital of €250,000 · Registered office: 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114 · SIRET 817 946 114 00029 · VAT FR 60 817 946 114 · "Blue Lemon Agent" trade mark · Training-provider registration no. 119 108 635 91 (Île-de-France prefect — this registration does not amount to State approval) · Qualiopi certified, no. 03896, for the "training actions" category · contact@bluelemonagent.ai · dpo@bluelemonagent.ai · Hosted in France.

The 206 excluded, by reason

Exclusion reason	Contracts	Why the reason is written down
Supply of equipment or parts, no software component	131	A contract set aside without a reason gets read again next year, and the work has to be redone
Services with no automated processing	48	Same reason — the reason makes the sort replayable
Leases, insurance and financing	19	Outside the scope of the extracted requirements
Expired or terminated contracts	8	With their end date, so the exclusion can be checked

The 7 retained, and exactly what they lack

Contract	What is missing	Expiry	Recommended route
CT-118, CT-141, CT-166, CT-190 — AI component supply	Neither handover of the technical documentation nor notification of version changes	CT-118: 31/03/2027 · CT-141: 30/04/2027 · the other two in 2028	Short clause at renewal for CT-118 and CT-141; simple amendment for the other two
CT-097, CT-152 — AI component supply	Documentation clause present, with no deadline	CT-097: 28/02/2027 · CT-152: 2029	Short clause at renewal for CT-097; simple amendment for CT-152
CT-204 — recruitment services	The provider operates the screening tool; the contract does not say who answers for what	31/01/2027	Long form , at renegotiation

The recommended sort, quantified: 3 contracts fall due within eight months — the short clause rides in with the renewal, with no negotiation opened. For the **other 4**, a simple amendment is enough. **The recruitment contract is the only one that calls**

for a real renegotiation, and its 31 January 2027 expiry makes it the natural starting point.

Draft clause — short form, four lines

"The Supplier shall deliver to the Client, upon release of each version and no later than thirty (30) days before it enters service, the technical documentation of the component supplied. The Supplier shall notify the Client in writing, within the same period, of any version change, any substantial modification of the component and any new usage restriction. The Client may refuse to bring into service any version whose documentation has not been delivered. Documents delivered under this article shall be retained by the Client for the term of the contract and five (5) years thereafter."

The wording is that of the client's own contracts, not of a generic template: the **last 12 signed amendments** were read to reuse their phrasing, their usual notice periods and the way they name the parties. **A clause that speaks a different language from the contract receiving it gets negotiated twice** — once on substance, once on form.

Long form — what it adds for CT-204

Point added	Why it is needed here
Allocation of roles between the parties	The provider operates the tool; the client remains answerable to the candidates
Information of the people concerned	Requirement EX-04 is performed at the point of contact, which the provider holds
Logging and handover of logs	Requirement EX-03 requires the client to hold logs it does not produce itself
Human oversight and review route	Requirement EX-08 expects a named arrangement, not a principle
Reversibility and data return	So that the end of the contract does not make the evidence disappear

Draft clause reviewed on _____ by _____ · role _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.