



Proposed owners — 61 applications with nobody accountable

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

47 proposals, 14 abstentions, 6 rejected in the dry run · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. Group, subsidiaries, accounts, applications and people are invented — on this subject, the people whose access is discussed are invented too. **No write is made to any real system. A proposal is not an assignment:** every line awaits the subsidiary director's confirmation.

The method, and what it declines to do

Three indications are cross-checked for each application: **who requested the access** in the tickets, **who approved it**, and **which department the sign-ins come from**. An application's name, a department's name or a similarity of wording are **not** indications: they produce matches that read as facts without being any.

The result, by degree of agreement

Agreement	Applications	What is returned
-----------	--------------	------------------

Three indications out of three	34	Single proposal, confirmation in one click
Two indications out of three	13	Proposal shown WITH the disagreement noted
No agreement	14	No proposal — the question is passed on as it stands
Total	61	—

The unflattering figure, and what it changed

In the dry run, **internal control rejected 6 proposals out of 47, i.e. 13 %**. **All six concerned applications taken over in a 2023 acquisition**, whose original tickets belong to a dissolved company: the indications existed, but they pointed at an organisation that is gone. **Outside that subset, no proposal was rejected.**

Subset	Proposals	Rejected	Rate
Applications from the 2023 acquisition	9	6	67 %
Applications of original origin	38	0	0 %
Overall	47	6	13 %

The rule added as a result

Rule PROP-03, version 1, proposed on 06/09/2026: an application inherited through an external growth operation predating its takeover no longer receives an automatic owner proposal; it goes straight to the subsidiary director, with the raw indications. **This rule awaits internal control's approval to take effect.**

The fourteen with no proposal

For these applications, no indication agrees. **One of them has recorded no sign-in for 400 days:** the question is not who answers for it, but whether it should stay open. It is flagged as such, with no recommendation to delete — **an application without sign-ins**

may be a standby arrangement.

Decision awaited: Subsidiary director, then internal control for rule PROP-03

External writes: 0

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.