



VAT discrepancy — 35 hosting invoices

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The agent records a gap against the supplier's history; it does not say which treatment is correct.** This is not a matching question, it is a tax question, and it goes to the accountant or the adviser with the detail of the service.

Period	Invoices	French VAT carried
2023-2025	28	none
January-July 2026	6	none
Invoice of 07/08/2026	1	€412

The arithmetic, reconstructable

Base	Net amount	At 20%
Invoice of 07/08/2026	€2,060	€412
The previous 34, cumulated	€39,500	€7,900

The three hypotheses

Hypothesis	Consequence	Amount at stake
The supplier made a mistake	Invoice to be corrected	€412
Something changed in the service	The invoice is right	€0
The previous 34 should have carried it	Cumulative gap to examine	€7,900

The third hypothesis is the least welcome and it must be put. It is the company that carries the gap in an audit, not the supplier who issued the invoice. **This table prices the hypotheses; it adopts none of them.**

The three descriptions that differ

Invoice	Date	Description	VAT
F-2025-0918	09/2025	Managed hosting — shared instances	none
F-2026-0177	03/2026	Managed hosting — shared instances	none
F-2026-0491	08/2026	Managed hosting — dedicated instances, French site	€412

The three differing descriptions are given because that is probably where the answer lies. It is not a conclusion: it is the first place to look.

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.