



Four control rules for draft orders — tested over 24 months

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Run over 1,280 orders made between 1 January 2024 and 31 December 2025 · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **None of these rules is in force: they are written, measured and put on the table. A rule only enters service once the head of service has validated it. They bear on the FORM and the TRACEABILITY of a draft order — never on the merits of the measure, which belong to the mayor.**

The gap the agent publishes against itself: 96 redos out of 640 — 15.0 %

Reason for the redo	Number	Share of the 96	What it says

Perimeter carried over from the template as a whole street, with no numbers or length	71	74.0 %	The agent was wrong: CGCT art. L2213-2 makes parking a measure taken « by reasoned order »
Statement of reasons too general, copied from the template	14	14.6 %	Reason templates rewritten with the head of service
Hours rounded up to a whole day	7	7.3 %	Duration now bounded by the stated reason
Other reasons, non-recurring	4	4.2 %	No reason recurs more than twice
Total	96	100 %	—

The fix, and its measurement: since 14 April 2026, the perimeter is requested and written in street numbers, with the length in metres and the number of bays concerned, taken from the municipal road plan. Across the 214 drafts produced since, 7 were redone — 3.3 % — and none on that ground.

The four rules, with what each raises and what it lets through

Rule	What it raises	Flags over 24 months	Confirmed on examination	Reliability
A	Perimeter with no street numbers or length in metres	186	179	96.2 %
B	Temporary order with no end date, or whose duration exceeds that of the stated reason	142	128	90.1 %
C	Citation of an article that is not the basis of the stated reason	98	74	75.5 %

Rule	What it raises	Flags over 24 months	Confirmed on examination	Reliability
D	Order signed with no posting or publication date entered in the register within 48 hours	61	58	95.1 %
Together	Distinct fragile orders covered	487 flags	402 distinct orders	93.3 % of the 431

Why 487 flags make only 402 orders: the same order often triggers two rules — typically A and B. **The figure that counts is distinct orders, not alerts**, and that is the one carried into the year-in-review: **402 out of 431, that is 201 a year.**

Rule C at 75.5 % — why it is given as it stands

24 of rule C's 98 flags were not errors: the broad citation was a **deliberate choice by the service**, to cover a measure touching both traffic and the occupation of public space. **A rule that is wrong one time in four gets switched off quickly, and the station would then also lose the 74 real cases. The proposed setting is therefore to keep it as a SIMPLE flag — a note in the signature folder, not a block — and to let the head of service settle it in ten seconds.**

What the first three let through — and why rule D was written

61 orders were perfect in form and carried no posting or publication date in the register. Rules A, B and C do not see them: the text is fine. **But an order whose publicity is not established is an order whose entry into force cannot be proved** — and that is only discovered when someone challenges it, that is to say at the worst possible moment. **Rule D raises the absence 48 hours after signature, while the posting is still recent and the proof still easy to make.**

Rules A / B / C / D brought into service by the head of the municipal police service, on:

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.