



Scope and traceability — what is excluded by design, and what is logged

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Design file, output log, scope review · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The scope is strictly administrative and documentary. Some exclusions are not settings: they are written into the design file and logged, which means they are not switched on by ticking a box.**

What is excluded by design — and the text where there is one

Exclusion	Basis	How it is checked
Real-time remote biometric identification in publicly accessible spaces for law-enforcement purposes	Regulation (EU) 2024/1689, art. 5(1), applicable since 02/02/2025 — prohibited outside an exhaustive list subject to authorisation by a judicial or independent administrative authority	No biometric processing installed; design file

Exclusion	Basis	How it is checked
Access to the urban control room's feeds and recordings	Scope declared in the design file	No interface, no account, network log
Criminal characterisation of a fact	Internal Security Code art. L511-1, Code of Criminal Procedure art. 21-2 — the sworn officer records, the judicial police officer receives the report	Reports produced: no characterisation
Signing an order	CGCT art. L2212-2 — the mayor's police power	Register of orders
Any list of people, vehicles or addresses to watch	Scope declared in the design file	No output of this kind in the log
Proposing patrol areas or time slots	Design choice: deployment belongs to the head of service	No output of this kind in the log

Per-officer indicators — the mechanism, and the decision that stays with the client

Step in the mechanism	What would happen	What would be lost
1. The indicator exists	Procès-verbaux, incident-log entries, call-outs, per officer per month	Nothing yet
2. The indicator becomes a target	What is counted is what rises	Comparability from one month to the next
3. The officer books what counts quickly	Angled parking is written up in two minutes; a neighbour dispute takes two hours and counts as one line	The long work, which is the only kind that settles anything

Step in the mechanism	What would happen	What would be lost
4. The indicator stops describing public order	It rises while nothing changes on the ground	The instrument itself, at the exact moment it is used to steer

This is not a refusal: the decision belongs to the municipality. If it decides to produce the indicator, the conditions are brought already met: prior information of the officers, referral to the local staff committee before it goes live, scope and duration written down, a review clause at six months. What the agent produces in the meantime: activity by area and by time slot — five areas, incident-log entries by reason, the 38 events, orders by type, response times — which can be steered and turns against nobody.

One exception, and it is not one: WHO SIGNS is named. The mayor on the order, the officer on the report, the head of service on bringing a rule into service. A signature is not a counter: it is what makes the act stand up, and it carries a name, a date and a time.

What makes the scope checkable

Guarantee	How it is checked
Every output carries its author, its timestamp and its sources	Log open to the head of service, export on request
Every human redo is recorded with its reason	That log is what produced the 15.0 % published in the year-in-review
Residents' data stays on a resource isolated per municipality, hosted in France	Hosting contract, no pooling, encryption in transit and at rest
Access is by role, traced, and withdrawn with a word	Register of authorisations, quarterly review

Guarantee	How it is checked
The AI announcement is made in the first message	Art. 50(1) of Regulation (EU) 2024/1689, applicable since 2 August 2026; 286 requests for a human officer, 286 honoured
The scope is reviewed at every change	Scope review minutes, dated and signed

Scope review conducted by the head of service and the chief executive of the municipality, on: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.