



Cited and missing exhibits — 141 across 47 investigation files

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Documents matched against exhibit numbers · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The 141 exhibits are cited in a document in the file and absent from the file itself. 117 are held by a third party named in the document that cites them, and the 117 requests for production are written on the registry's templates, ready to go out with the signature.**

What was reread

Item	Volume	Detail
Investigation files under way	47	Two investigating chambers
Pages reread	22,400	480 pages on average for a large file
Numbered exhibits	6,180	Nature, date, author, document citing them

Item	Volume	Detail
Exhibits cited and missing	141	3 per file on average; 11 files carry 5 or more

These are not lost exhibits. They are exhibits announced in a police record, a report or an interview, **and never matched to their exhibit number** — *the exhibit number is the order in which a document is filed; it is how it is retrieved and cited.* **The match only shows up by putting the citing document and the exhibit schedule side by side, file by file — and nobody had the time to do it.**

What each line of the list carries

Column	Content	What it is for
Case number	The case concerned	Finding the file without searching for it
Document citing the exhibit	Nature of the document, page and line	Checking the citation in ten seconds
Holder according to the document itself	Investigating service, expert, bailiff, establishment	Writing the request to the right addressee first time
Nearest hearing date	That of the file concerned	The order in which the requests win the most days
Request for production	Written, on the registry template	Only checking and signature remain

What that changes for the parties

Measure	Before	After the first quarter
Hearings adjourned for a missing exhibit	9 over the year	2 over the quarter

Measure	Before	After the first quarter
Time for a requested exhibit to come back	—	8 days on average
When the gap is discovered	The weekend before the hearing	31 days before the hearing on average

An adjournment costs three to six months to court users who are already waiting. It is the only figure on this page they would see if they read it — and it is the one that has moved most.

For the court to settle

Order of handling of the 11 files carrying 5 or more missing exhibits: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.