Year review — 1,401 hours given back to the service

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

Three lines of calculation, reproducible on your own logs · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The calculation belongs to the court and fits in three lines** — it can be redone without us, from the registry's logs. **This is the page to take to the management committee.**

The calculation, item by item

Item	Share of the scale	Duration	Annual volume	Time given back
Summarising a large file	**100 % → 12 %**	**5 h → 36 min**	**120**	**528 hours**
Case-law search	33 % → 10 %	1 h 40 → 30 min	380	**443 hours**
Answer on where a case stands	10 % → 8 %	30 min → 24 min	4,300	**430 hours**

Item	Share of the scale	Duration	Annual volume	Time given back
Total	—	—	—	**1,401 hours — forty hours for each of the 35 judges and clerks**

The scale is common to all three lines: 100 % is the 5 hours a large-file summary takes today. These hours are not a saving on staffing, and they must not be presented as one: no post has been cut, none created. **This is staff time given back to analysis, hearings and reasoning** — and before a committee, what defends is what that time produced.

What those hours produced — the delays met for court users

Commitment	Before	After	Source
Hearings adjourned for a missing exhibit or step	**9 over the year**	**2 over the quarter**	Hearing register
Deadlines checked less than 48 h before expiry	**26**	**0**	Deadline schedule
Enquiries with no answer the same day	**1,900**	**470**	Reception desk log
Call-backs to understand a letter	**1,040**	**260**	Reception desk log
Decisions delivered within the set time, new questions	**41 out of 62**	**58 out of 62**	Register of reserved judgments
Services on the parties	—	**6 days earlier on average**	Hearing records

The figure the agent publishes about itself, published with the rest

Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Change

Research notes delivered	96	104	—
Corrected on substance before delivery	**11 — 11.5 %**	**3 — 2.9 %**	**−8**
of which caused by a library stopping at a date	**8**	**0**	**The cause is gone, not just the symptom**
of which questions with no precedent in the library	3	3	—

The cause was measurable: the agent was faithfully citing a reference library that stopped at a date. **What was done:** the library is matched against the day's publications every night, **and any note delivered within the year whose scope a new publication changes is brought back, paragraph highlighted and updated version already written.**

The framework measures

Indicator	Year
Productions logged	**2,480**
Judicial decisions produced without a judge	**0**
Documents served without authentication by a clerk	**0**
Procedural exhibits that left the court's network	**0**
Transfers outside the European Union	**0**
Scores or profiles of persons produced	**0**

> **These zeros are not a cautious setting: they are how the agent is wired.** The read-only technical account has no right to write, and the decision belongs to the judge.

For the court to settle

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Date of presentation of the review to the management committee: _____

Request a demo with your own documents

This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.

https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/secteur-public/metiers/agent-ia-justice

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.