



ADR-014, and what made it stale

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for demonstration. **This document does not recommend adding a cache.** It surfaces a decision and the fact that made it stale; the call belongs to the team.

The decision

Item	Content
Reference	ADR-014
Date	12/09/2023
Author	Left the team in 2024
Decision	No cache on the billing service
Written reason	Amounts must reflect the database to the second, because of the bank reconciliation screen used live by the accountants

The fact that contradicts it

LINDBERGH FORMATION — a simplified joint-stock company with share capital of €250,000 · Registered office: 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114 · SIRET 817 946 114 00029 · VAT FR 60 817 946 114 · "Blue Lemon Agent" trade mark · Training-provider registration no. 119 108 635 91 (Île-de-France prefect — this registration does not amount to State approval) · Qualiopi certified, no. 03896, for the "training actions" category · contact@bluelemonagent.ai · dpo@bluelemonagent.ai · Hosted in France.

Item	Content
Event	Removal of the bank reconciliation screen
Date	April 2025
Trace	PR #331
Replaced by	An export
Attached to ADR-014?	No

The written reason behind ADR-014 has not existed for sixteen months, and nothing in the note says so. A developer reading it today applies a rule whose reason has gone — with no way of knowing.

What is not established

Question	Why it stays open
Did the ADR have other reasons?	Implicit reasons do not get written down, and they exist
Has the service's load changed?	Yes, but in which direction is not in my data
Is a cache right today?	That is the question, and it arises now

The draft ADR-014bis

Written with both dated facts at the front, and the question posed in the opening rather than the conclusion. It proposes no decision: it reopens the 2023 one with what was missing to reread it.

Decision: ☐ keep it uncached, reason to be rewritten · ☐ add a cache, conditions to define · ☐ measure the current load first

encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.