



Document checks — 4,620 filings, and the model mandate

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

The year's filings run back through the control rule · registry filing notice · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The check covers the FORMAL COMPLETENESS of a filing: presence, title, numbering, legibility, date. The content of the documents is not extracted, not summarised and not retained — that is what keeps the check within the registry's administrative scope.**

The year's 4,620 filings run back through the rule

Reason for incompleteness	Filings	Share	What it costs today
Document listed on the schedule and absent from the filing	402	8.7%	A round trip discovered as the hearing approaches
Document filed with no trace of service on the other party	231	5.0%	An incident raised in court

Reason for incompleteness	Filings	Share	What it costs today
Document illegible or not numbered on the schedule	153	3.3%	A document to request again, a file to redo
Total incomplete	786	17.0%	—
Complete filings	3,834	83.0%	—

Measured effect	Today	After
Time to get a complete file ready	19 days	4 days
When the incompleteness is found	As the hearing approaches	Within the minute after filing
Hearings with at least one adjournment linked to an incomplete file	96 of 312	The item where the check at filing shows fastest

What is recorded from each document, and what is not

Element	Recorded	Why
Presence of the document in the filing	Yes	That is the very object of the check
Title on the schedule	Yes	Allows the schedule and the filing to be matched
Numbering	Yes	An unnumbered document is a document nobody can find in court
Legibility	Yes	An illegible document is a document to request again
Date of the document	Yes	Set against the filing deadline

Element	Recorded	Why
Trace of service on the other party	Yes	Prevents an incident being raised in court
Content of the document	No — not extracted, not summarised, not retained	None of these checks needs it; article 5.1(c) of the GDPR, and the boundary of the scope

The mandate — three scopes to choose from, and two boundaries the slider does not cross

Scope	What goes without act-by-act approval	What always waits	Ceiling and review
1 — the most cautious	Nothing	Everything: acknowledgements, reminders, dispatches	Review at 3 months
2 — intermediate	Qualified filing acknowledgements	Reminders, batch dispatches	Review at 3 months
3 — the widest	Filing acknowledgements, dispatch of batches already signed, chasing of acknowledgements of receipt	Any service outside a named batch	Ceiling of 2 batches a week, review at 3 months
Outside every scope	—	Authentication of the act	It belongs to the clerk and cannot be delegated
Outside every scope	—	Any involvement in the merits	It belongs to the judge

A mandate is not a blank cheque, and neither is it a refusal in disguise.

Dispatching a batch of summonses, serving an act, chasing a party are acts that **commit the court**: they are carried out **as soon as the mandate is written** — named batches, capped volume, review date — **and the mandate is withdrawn on a word, instantly. A batch being dispatched stops for as long as it has not gone out.**

Mandate scope chosen — 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ — signed by the head of registry, on: _____

Batches covered by the mandate: _____ review date: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.