The sovereign framework and the scope — where documents run, who reads what, what is never read

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

Hosting architecture, role-based access log, registry record of processing activities · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **A registry handles procedural documents and the identities of parties, some of it covered by secrecy. The architecture must be checkable, not promised — and the scope must be proven, not declared.**

Two architectures to choose from, and you decide which

Architecture	Where the data runs	What it rules out	For which court
Local inference	On a machine in the court, inside its network	**Nothing leaves the network — not even encrypted**	**The shortest answer for the most sensitive files**

Architecture	Where the data runs	What it rules out	For which court
Isolated resource hosted in France	Resource dedicated to your court, in France, under French law	**No pooling with another court; exposure to extraterritorial legislation reduced by the architecture, location alone not being enough**	A court that prefers to outsource operations
Options by level of requirement	SecNumCloud, HDS	—	To be settled at design stage, according to the data actually handled

What I read, what I cannot reach, and what is never extracted

Source	My access	What I draw from it	What stays out of reach
General register and hearing list	**Read only, chamber by chamber**	Case number, parties, date, time, courtroom, chamber	No writing: I produce drafts, the clerk enters them
Register of entries	**Read only**	Nature, date and document of each entry	—
Time-limit schedule of pending files	**Read only**	Time limit, act that sets it, date of service	—
Procedural documents on the files	**Reading of identifying elements**	**Case number, capacity and identity of the parties, nature and date of the act, date of service**	**The statement of facts and the parties' arguments: not extracted, not summarised, not retained**

Source	My access	What I draw from it	What stays out of reach
Criminal service files	**No connection**	—	**Outside the pilot's scope: an access with no use is not opened**
Civil application	**No write access**	—	The clerk rereads, authenticates and enters

The most important line in this table is the last column of the « procedural documents » row. Preparing a summons, a notification or an entry requires **identifying elements**; it never requires reading what the parties argue. **The scope announced — administrative support, no involvement in the merits — therefore has a technical translation, and it is that translation that can be verified: article 5.1(c) of the GDPR asks for nothing else.**

The scope is proven — what the log shows

What is checked	Result over the year	Where it can be read
Acts served without the clerk's signature	**0**	Signature log: name, date, time, act
Entries made in the register without rereading	**0**	Entry log: draft, reviewer, date
Involvement in the merits of a case	**0**	**Access log: what was read, file by file, with the purpose — and what was never read**
Questions on the merits received and redirected	**1,248**	Referral log: to the judge, the bar association or the legal advice centre
Procedural documents leaving France	**0**	Hosting architecture and access log

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

The Annex III question of the European AI Regulation, asked plainly. Point 8 of Annex III covers systems intended to **assist a judicial authority in researching and interpreting facts and the law and in applying the law to a concrete set of facts**. **The answer is not argued, it is shown:** the agent prepares procedural acts from **your templates**, logs time limits **carried by acts**, and keeps **registers**. **None of these tasks touches the research or interpretation of the law. The scope is written into the contract, it is held by the access rights, and it can be checked on the log** — all three, because a clause alone proves nothing. **This paragraph is written to be reread by your lawyer and your court president, and discussed with them at design stage.**

The right of access — what is ready, and the question that is yours

The access file is produced in a minute: identity on the file, acts served and their dates, entries made, time limits logged, **and the log of the reads made of the file with their purpose**. **That is the right of access under article 15 of the GDPR; its exercise over processing connected with judicial activity is assessed under the rules specific to such processing — the question is settled with your data protection officer and your court president, not by the tool. What the tool brings is that the usual difficulty — assembling the material — no longer exists: it is already assembled, and the decision to act on it is entirely yours.**

Architecture chosen — local inference ☐ isolated resource in France ☐ — settled on: _____

Quarterly access review with the data protection officer, set for: _____

Request a demo with your own documents

This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.

https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/secteur-public/metiers/agent-ia-greffier

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.