



The sovereign framework — where children's data runs, who reads what, what is withdrawn on a word

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Hosting architecture, access log and record of processing activities · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The data handled here belongs to children and families: identities, family situations, incomes. It calls for an architecture that can be checked, not a sales promise.**

Two architectures to choose from, and you decide which

Architecture	Where the data runs	What it rules out	For which service
Local inference	On a machine in the early years service, inside the town's network	Nothing leaves the network — not even encrypted	An authority with its own server and in-house operations

Architecture	Where the data runs	What it rules out	For which service
Isolated resource hosted in France	Resource dedicated to Roche-sur-Yèvre, in France, under French law	No pooling with another authority; exposure to extraterritorial legislation reduced by the architecture, location alone not being enough	An authority that prefers to outsource operations
Options by level of requirement	SecNumCloud, HDS	—	To be settled at design stage, according to the data actually handled

What I read, what I cannot reach, and what I write

Source	My access	What I draw from it	What stays out of reach
Family files and applications	Read only	Scoring points, documents, waiting-list history	No writing: I produce drafts, a member of staff enters them
Attendance records	Read only	Booked hours, hours taken, absences	—
Means-test file	Read only	Figure, update date, number of dependent children	—
Children's health data	No connection	—	Individual care protocols, medical certificates, allergies: a compartment I am not connected to

Source	My access	What I draw from it	What stays out of reach
Absence certificate	Two dates, at your decision	Start date, end date — to apply your deduction rule	Never the reason, never the content
Billing software	No write access	—	I produce a file, your case officer imports it after approval
Families' bank details	No access	—	No task entrusted to me needs them

The principle behind this table is that of article 5.1(c) of the GDPR: only the data necessary to the purpose is exposed. **A tax notice carries far more than a means test needs, and a medical certificate far more than a deduction needs. This is a table your data protection officer can reuse as it stands in their impact assessment.**

What you produce on the day of a dispute — the three documents

Document	What it establishes	Time to produce
The scoring of the file	The points carried, article by article of the resolution of 14 October 2025, and the dated document grounding each	Immediate
The panel minutes	Members present, the reasoned decision, the session date — 0 places allocated outside a panel over the year	Immediate
The disclosure log	The artificial intelligence disclosure at the head of every exchange (article 50(1) of the European AI Regulation, applicable since 2 August 2026) and requests for a human — 312 over the year, 312 met	Immediate

The Annex III question of the European AI Regulation, asked plainly. Annex III covers systems intended to evaluate people's eligibility for essential public assistance

benefits and services. **The answer is not argued, it is shown:** allocating a place is done **by your panel, in session, on the record**; what the agent produces is **the arithmetic application of your resolved grid, readable point by point, with the document behind each point. Without a panel session, no place changes hands** — human oversight is not a configuration option here, it is the very mechanics of the arrangement. **This paragraph is written to be reread by your lawyer, and discussed with them at design stage.**

A family's right of access — article 15 of the GDPR

The access file is produced in a minute, and it contains: the application and its date, the points carried with their document, **the full history of waiting-list movements — entry, change of points, hold-over, exit — with their date, their reason and their author**, the contract, attendance, invoices, letters exchanged, **and the log of the reads made of the file with their purpose. The right of access is exercised by the holder of parental authority. The usual difficulty with an access request is assembling the material: here it is already assembled**, which turns a job of several days into a printout.

Architecture chosen — local inference ☐ isolated resource in France ☐ — settled on:

Quarterly access review with the data protection officer, set for: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.