



Written mandate — scope, cap and withdrawal

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Four authorised acts, review at three months · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **This mandate is not a blank cheque**: it lists what goes out without review, caps the volumes, sets a review date and is withdrawn with one word.

What the mandate authorises

Act	Cap	What it commits
Information letters on unclaimed entitlements	220 a month, only to members whose declared situation meets the written conditions	Nothing: it informs of a right and opens none
Acknowledgements of documents and claims	Within the hour, no cap	Nothing: it records an arrival
Replies to the 16 routine subjects	List named in the mandate, and nothing else	Sourced and dated information

Act	Cap	What it commits
Follow-up in everyday wording on the 30th day of silence	Incomplete files only	It recalls what is missing, it decides nothing

What the mandate expressly leaves to a person's signature

Decision	Who signs	Why it is a strength
Opening or refusing an entitlement, and the notification	The caseworker	Reasoned, dated, open to appeal
Contributions instalment plan	The head of service	It commits the fund over time
Award of a grant	The social action committee	A collective decision defends better than a calculation
Any communication of medical material	The medical service, and it alone	The guarantee members care about most, and the most verifiable

The formal safeguards

Safeguard	Implementation
Traceability of every dispatch	Source, date, and the statement that it was prepared by a digital assistant of the fund
Daily record	One page each morning — a mistake is caught in an hour, not in three weeks
Review	At three months, with the record of what it changed. Without an explicit decision, the mandate lapses
Withdrawal	One word: direct sending stops within the minute

It is renewal that needs a signature, not stopping. A mandate that renews itself ends up authorising what nobody has read; this one lapses if the board says nothing.

Ready today: the mandate, the information notice displayed in the offices and published on the fund's website, and the first wave of 220 letters. **Signature one day, service running the next morning, review already in the director's diary on the 15th of the third month.**

To be settled by the fund

Date of signature and date of review: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.