



Year report — 548 hours given back to the department

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Twelve months of service, presented to the town council · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The measurement bears on entries, applications, turnaround times and outputs — never on what any named officer does. The figure that does not flatter the agent is published at the same level as the others, and followed by what corrects it. The calculation fits in three lines and can be redone on the town's own logs.**

The calculation, in three lines

Item announced by the fact sheet	Annual volume	Share of the time	In time	Given back per unit	Time given back
Debt table, ratios and annexed statements	12 productions	60 % → 10 %	5 h 24 → 54 min	4 h 30	54 hours

Item announced by the fact sheet	Annual volume	Share of the time	In time	Given back per unit	Time given back
Coding check on a run of payment and revenue orders	8,500 entries	40 % → 10 %	3 min 12 → 48 s	2 min 24	340 hours
Building a grant application	11 applications	100 % by hand	16 h → 2 h	14 hours	154 hours
Total	—	—	—	—	548 hours a year

The conversion, and the base that makes it unarguable

Unit	Base used	What 548 hours amount to
Week	35 hours	More than fifteen weeks
Month	151.67 hours	More than three months
Working year	1,607 hours	More than a third of a working year

That is the statutory working time, in the public service as in the private sector. The rounding is downwards and stated as such — « more than fifteen weeks », never « about sixteen »: a gain rounded upwards is a gain that can be contested in the meeting. **The figure in hours remains the reference; the conversion illustrates it, it does not replace it. These hours are officer time given back to the department, at unchanged headcount: no post cut, no post created.**

And 100 hours on top, counted separately so as to be counted once: 173 payment order rejections avoided, at 35 minutes of rework each. They do not appear in the 548 hours because the report keeps only the three items announced by the fact sheet. **The department counts only what it can check**

against its own logs, and it counts nothing twice.

What became of those hours — the town council's figures

Indicator	Before	After twelve months	What explains it
Payment orders rejected by the public accountant	214 out of 6,400 — 3.3 %	41 out of 6,400 — 0.6 %	Nightly matching of the entries against the voted budget and the documents
Average overall payment period	27 days	19 days	173 payment order reworks removed
Eligible applications not filed	2 — €186,000 never asked for	0	Each application woken 60 days before its funder's deadline
Grant applications filed	11	14	Three projects of the multi-year programme matched to a funder
Balance claims suspended	2 — 18 weeks in total	0	Documents checked on entry into the file
Rate drift spotted before the budget vote	0 — undetected	€39,200 on loan no. 4	Matching of the contractual schedules against the accounts
Automated budget decisions	0	0	The authorising officer signs, the council votes — that is a constant, not a result

The figure that does not flatter the agent, and what became of it

Quarter	Entries pre-checked	Flags	Unfounded	Share	What changed between the two
First	2,130	214	97	45 %	71 of the 97 came from a reference chart of accounts that ignored the accounts voted on 16 December 2025
Second	2,240	139	11	8 %	Rules A and C rewritten on the town's own reference, rerun over 24 months — 0 real discrepancy lost

The framework measures, the ones the council will look at first

Measure	Value	Scope on which it is established
Budget decisions taken by the agent	0	Initial budget, 3 budget amendments, annual financial statement
Payment orders sent to the accountant without a named validation	0	6,400 payment orders, full validation log
Entries produced directly in the finance software	0	8,500 entries
Loans taken out	0	The delegation under article L. 2122-22, 3°, of the French general local authorities code belongs to the mayor, within the limits set by the council
Financial data leaving the town hall network	0	9 contracts, 8,500 entries, 14 grant applications
Transfers outside the European Union	0	All data, all channels

Measure	Value	Scope on which it is established
Accesses recorded outside the officer's role	0	5 roles, full log
Dry-run exit	Carried out on 13 August	Payment-order chain and debt module: identical operation, no entry lost

What defends itself best in the meeting fits in one sentence: the department gave back **548 officer hours** at unchanged headcount, it **filed three more applications and let none go**, and **not one budget decision was taken by a system**. The budget vote, the award of grants and the taking out of a loan stayed where the law puts them: with the town council and the mayor.

The three avenues proposed for the year ahead

Avenue	What it aims at	Volume concerned	Decision
Extend the matching to the wastewater ancillary budget	44 rejections and 25 hours of rework a year, at the rate observed before service	1,340 payment orders and 620 revenue orders	_____
Programme the funding applications over three years	14 projects of the multi-year programme fall within at least one funder's scope and are covered by no application	€1.18m of potential funding	_____
Settle loan no. 4	€46,800 of interest saved against an €11,400 penalty — €35,400 net, extinguished in 2028 instead of 2030	€400,000 of partial early repayment	_____

Report presented to the town council of: _____

Calculation page attached to the meeting notice — yes / no: _____

Renewal for a second year — granted / deferred: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.