



Written mandate — scope, cap and withdrawal

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Four authorised acts, review at three months · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **This mandate is not a blank cheque**: it lists what goes out without review, caps the volumes, sets a review date and is withdrawn with one word.

What the mandate authorises

Act	Cap	What it commits
Information letters on workplace accident reporting	60 a month, only to insured people whose match has been approved by a caseworker	Nothing: it informs of a possibility and recognises nothing
Letters opening the adversarial stage	As soon as a file is complete	The list of documents consultable by their addressee alone

Act	Cap	What it commits
Acknowledgements of documents	Within the hour, no cap	Nothing: they record an arrival
Replies to the 12 routine subjects	List named in the mandate, and nothing else	Sourced and dated information

What the mandate expressly leaves to a person's signature

Decision	Who signs	Why it is a strength
Classifying the accident as work-related	The authorised officer, on the medical service's opinion	Reasoned, dated, open to appeal
The notification that follows	The authorised officer	It carries the route and time limit for appeal
Charging it to the employer's account	The authorised officer	It has lasting financial consequences
Any communication of medical material	The medical service, and it alone	The most expected guarantee, and the most verifiable

The formal safeguards

Safeguard	Implementation
Traceability of every dispatch	Source, date, and the statement that it was prepared by a digital assistant of the body
Daily record	One page each morning — a mistake is caught in an hour, not in three weeks
Review	At three months, with the record of what it changed. Without an explicit decision, the mandate lapses

Safeguard	Implementation
Withdrawal	One word: direct sending stops within the minute

It is renewal that needs a signature, not stopping. A mandate that renews itself ends up authorising what nobody has read; this one lapses if the service says nothing.

Ready today: the mandate, the information notice displayed at the front desk and published on the body's website, and the first wave of 60 letters. **Signature one day, service running the next morning, review already in the diary on the 15th of the third month.**

To be settled by the body

Date of signature and date of review: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.