



Report comments — 28 drafts, entries alongside

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Form 6C, first term · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The pupils named are invented. Every draft comment comes only from the teacher's own entries, set alongside it: mark, date, observation. No text exists before the teacher has validated it; the marks on the report are the ones they entered, reproduced exactly.**

Four drafts out of twenty-eight, exactly as they are put up

Pupil	Draft comment	Entries it derives from
Inès Delacourt	« A solid term. Calculation techniques are secure and written justifications have clearly improved since November. Keep taking the time to reread the questions. »	Tests of 18/10 (12.5), 22/11 (15), 09/12 (15.5) · observation of 22/11: « justifies better » · observation of 06/12: « misread the question »

Pupil	Draft comment	Entries it derives from
Maël Tavernier	« Improving results over the second half of the term. Work is handed in regularly. Speaking up in class would benefit from being more frequent. »	Tests of 18/10 (8), 22/11 (11), 09/12 (12) · observation of 15/11: « speaks up rarely » · 3 pieces of work handed in out of 3
Yanis Coquerel	« A difficult term, marked by several absences. The topics covered in October remain fragile; a meeting with the family is proposed to organise catching up. »	Tests of 18/10 (6), 09/12 (7.5) · 1 piece of work not handed in · 9 half-days of absence recorded by pastoral staff
Anaïs Roubaud	« An excellent term. Reasoning is rigorous and every extension task offered has been completed. Can aim at more open investigation tasks. »	Tests of 18/10 (17), 22/11 (18), 09/12 (17.5) · 6 extension exercises completed out of 6 · observation of 09/12

A comment talking about something the teacher never wrote down would be indefensible in front of a family. This one defends itself entry by entry, and the right-hand column is what makes checking possible in fifteen seconds.

Report time, before and after

Step	Share of the time	By hand	With the agent	What is left to the teacher
Rereading marks and observations, deciding	50 %	1 h 15	1 h 15 — unchanged	That is the job, and it does not move by a minute
Writing the 28 texts	50 %	1 h 15	18 min	Validating, qualifying, rewriting
Total per form per term	100 %	2 h 30	1 h 33	Writing goes from 50 % to 12 % of report time

Over 540 sets of comments in the year — 18 forms, 10 subjects, 3 terms — that is 513 teacher hours given back. The reference figure remains the hours; the conversion illustrates it: 513 hours is more than fourteen weeks of work on the statutory basis of 35 hours.

What the teachers did with the drafts, in the first term

Fate of the draft	Number	Share	Reading
Validated with a word, unchanged	3,567	73 %	The text said what the entries said
Corrected on substance by the teacher	1,293	27 %	That is the expected figure: the text is the teacher's
Total	4,860	100 %	A comment nobody touched is not a comment, it is a template

On measuring people — the mechanism, not the principle

Indicator	What it would become	What is offered instead
Comments reworked per teacher	A target: people rework for the sake of reworking, the sentence changes without the judgement changing	The same record by form and by term
Sequences prepared per teacher	A target: people prepare for the counter	The same record by subject
Whoever signs	Named — and it is not an exception	The report carries the teacher's name, the board's opinion the form tutor's: a signature is not a counter

If the head teacher nonetheless asks for an indicator per teacher, the agent produces it — and it brings the three conditions already met: purpose written

down, prior information of staff drafted, retention period fixed. The decision is theirs; it takes ten minutes instead of a file.

Date the drafts are made available, settled by the leadership team (5 days before entry recommended): _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.