Date inconsistency — 1 case

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

Fictional document

> **Fictional document — demonstration example**
> This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

> **Fictional document**, produced for demonstration. **No criterion is created.** A criterion written by a tool, from a single case, would produce hundreds of flags whose relevance nobody has measured.

The case as it stands

Element	Date	Document
Decision taken	**02/07/2026**	Signed notification
Supporting document behind it	**14/07/2026**	Certificate, p. 1
Gap	**12 days, the wrong way round**	—

The three possible explanations, none favoured

Hypothesis	What would make it true	Verifiable in my data?

Mistyped date	The document would show another date in print	**No**
Document supplied afterwards to complete the file	An exchange after the decision	**No**
Something else	—	**No**

> **None of the three is verifiable without opening the file and asking the service.** That is why the case goes to the head of service, and not in the form of a criterion.

If the service decides to make it a criterion

Step	Who	What the agent can supply
Write the criterion's sentence	**The service**	—
Test it dry against the history	—	**How many flags it would have produced across 4,200 files**
Estimate the expected confirmation rate	**The service**	The cases to examine
Approve and put it into service	**The service**	—

The dry test is what is most often missing when a criterion is added. It costs one query, and it avoids discovering in production that a criterion produces two hundred flags a month.

Request a demo with your own documents

This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.

https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/secteur-public/solutions/agent-ia-detection-fraude-anomalies

---

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales