



Where the Town of Rocheveyre's data lives

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Hosting, role-based access, record of processing activities, traceability · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **It is written to be handed as it stands to your data protection officer**: every line is verifiable against a configuration or a log, none rests on a statement of intent.

Where the agent runs — two architectures, one consequence

Architecture	What leaves the municipality's network	Exposure to the Cloud Act and FISA 702	When to choose it
Local inference, on a machine in the town hall	Nothing. No flow leaves the network.	Reduced to the minimum — no flow leaves the network	When the municipality has a server room and wants total isolation

Architecture	What leaves the municipality's network	Exposure to the Cloud Act and FISA 702	When to choose it
Dedicated, isolated resource hosted in France, under French law	Nothing leaves the territory or the European Union	Reduced by the architecture; location alone is not enough, and the exposure is documented for the configuration chosen	The common case — operation and updates handled by us
Pooling between authorities	Not practised. An environment strictly dedicated to Rocheveyre.	—	—
Options by level of requirement	SecNumCloud, and HDS for a health scope	—	A single architecture for GDPR and for reducing extraterritorial exposure

The agent's access, source by source — what is open and what is not

Source	Agent's access	Finance department's access	What that rules out in practice
Finance software — ledger, commitments, payment and revenue orders, procurement	Read-only	Read and write	No payment order, no revenue order, no entry can be created or altered by the agent

Source	Agent's access	Finance department's access	What that rules out in practice
HR system — aggregated headcount and payroll data	Read-only, aggregated	Read	The agent does not go below a grouping of 5 staff
Individual payslips, individual staff files	No access, neither read nor write	Access reserved to the HR team	They play no part in computing a payroll total: they are not open at all
Medical reasons for sick leave	No access	Access reserved to occupational health	An absence rate is computed on days, not on reasons
Bank details of third parties and staff	No access	Read access restricted to two named officers	No payment data enters a dashboard
Time and absence management	Read-only, aggregated	Read	—
Operational applications — facility booking, catering	Read-only	Read	—
The 340 departmental spreadsheets	Read-only	Read	The agent flags discrepancies, it never corrects a department's spreadsheet
Document management for resolutions and reports	Read-only	Read and write	The agent produces drafts; a public official files them

The principle behind this table is article 5.1.c GDPR: only data necessary to the purpose pursued is exposed. A payslip carries far more than a payroll total needs; a

sickness reason plays no part in an absence rate. **Every access right in the table above is withdrawn with a word, and the withdrawal takes effect within the second** — no restart, no intervention, no delay. **And the agent plugs into what exists: no migration, no data re-entry, no change of tool imposed on the departments.**

The department's record of processing activities, already written

Processing	Purpose	Legal basis	Data processed	Retention set by the municipality
Production of performance dashboards	Managing the municipal public service	Public interest task — art. 6.1.e GDPR	Financial and activity data, aggregated	Retention period of the municipality's budget records
Aggregated HR indicators	Tracking headcount, payroll and absence	Public interest task — art. 6.1.e	Headcount and absence days, aggregated, minimum grouping 5 staff	5 rolling financial years
Answering figure requests	Informing elected members and departments	Public interest task — art. 6.1.e	Question asked, scope retained, figure served, requester	2 years
Access and production log	Tracing who read what, and where each figure comes from	Security obligation — art. 32 GDPR	Timestamp, role, reason, object consulted	3 years
Sign-off log	Establishing who settled each released figure	Public interest task — art. 6.1.e	Name of the public official, date and time, document approved	Retention period of the approved document

The periods above are those the municipality has set in its own record, on a date the record carries. They are not presented as a rule of law: the law sets principles — necessity, minimisation, storage limitation —, the authority derives periods from them and owns them. **A thirty-minute annual review with your data protection officer brings you the list of access rights that served no purpose over the year and the retention periods that have run out. You decide what to close and what to erase; the agent brings the list rather than waiting to be asked.**

What you produce the day an elected member disputes a figure in the chamber

Record	What it establishes	Where it is taken from	Time to produce
1 — The figure itself	Its declared scope, its cut-off date, the plain-language query that produces it, and the lines that make it up — payment orders, revenue orders, invoices — with their numbers	Finance software + indicator sheet frozen with the dashboard	Immediate, from the chart bar
2 — Who settled the figure	Name of the public official who approved it, date and time. Over the year: 32 dashboards, 32 named sign-offs; 6 reports, 6 sign-offs; 0 releases without sign-off.	Sign-off log	Immediate

Record	What it establishes	Where it is taken from	Time to produce
3 — What each person knew, and when	The artificial intelligence disclosure made in the first sentence of every exchange, and the option to ask for a public official — 96 asked, 96 got one	Disclosure and hand-over log	Immediate
4 — Reproducibility	The same question asked again in the sitting returns the same figure: query and cut-off date are frozen with the dashboard. 32 dashboards, 32 rerunnable identically.	Frozen indicator sheets	Immediate
5 — A member of staff's access file, on request	The aggregated data concerning them, the reads made of their file and their stated reason — right of access under article 15 GDPR	All the sources above	One minute — the usual difficulty is assembling the records; they are already assembled

The artificial intelligence disclosure is not a configuration option. Article 50(1) of the EU AI Act has required, since 2 August 2026, that anyone interacting with an AI system be informed, except where this is obvious. It is built into the welcome message, on every channel open to elected members and departments. **And it is logged: it is that trace that makes it demonstrable, not the statement.**

Architecture retained — local inference or isolated resource hosted in France — settled on: _____

Date of the annual review with the data protection officer: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.