Technical framework — where the financial data lives

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

Local inference, read-only, processing in the EU targeted · fictional document

> **Fictional document — demonstration example**
> This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

> **Fictional document**, produced for the demonstration. **It describes the architecture actually proposed**: budget execution, payroll, tax revenue and supporting documents are among the most sensitive data an authority holds.

The two deployment modes, at the authority's choice

Mode	Where the computing happens	What leaves the network	For whom
Local inference	**On a machine of the town**	**Nothing**	Authorities that already host their own servers
Isolated resource	On a dedicated server hosted in France	**Nothing outside the European Union**	Authorities that would rather not run a machine

What protects the financial data

Point	Implementation	What it lets you write in your processing register
Training	**No financial data feeds a public model**	“What the system reads of the authority serves the authority”
Technical account permissions	**Read-only — no accounting entry can come from the agent**	**“Verifiable with one command”**
Encryption	In transit and at rest, strong authentication	“A stolen file stays unreadable”
Role-based access	**6 roles for 11 finance staff** — an analyst opens their directorate's execution, not the named payroll statements	**“0 out-of-role access since go-live”**
Logging	**Which data was read, when, to produce which figure**	**“A calculation can be redone two years later before the regional audit chamber”**
Hosting	**France, under French law**, architecture designed to reduce exposure to extraterritorial legislation, location alone not being enough to guarantee immunity	“Deployment objective: processing and access within the European Union”

Personal data, and the aggregate that renders the same service

Request	What applies	What the agent produces instead
Showing benefit recipients or members of staff in a dashboard	**The purpose of management reporting does not justify it, and a dashboard always travels further than one imagines**	**The aggregate by scheme, by band and by month — on the discretionary family allowance: 1,240 recipients, 4 bands, average amount and three-year trend, without a single name appearing**

What the agent plugs into — with no migration

Tool already in place	What the agent does there	What changes for the department
Financial information system	Reads execution, reconciles, projects	**No change of tool**
Accounting software	Reconciles balances and postings	**No entry comes from the agent**
Document management system	**Reads the supporting documents of the 21,400 payment orders**	**No renaming, no moving**
Resolutions and budget amendments	Indexes 5 years of voted texts	The documents remain the authority's own

Reversibility, verifiable before committing

On the way out	What becomes of it
Index	Deleted — **it contained no payment order, only the means of finding them**
Log of outputs and questions	**Handed over in an open format, or destroyed — at the department's choice**
41 consistency checks, 6 indicator definitions, invoicing calendar of the 640 suppliers, classification of purchases, dashboard and note templates	**Stay with the town, readable and reproducible without us — a spreadsheet is enough**
Subscription	**Annual, with no tacit renewal — it is renewal that requires a decision, not termination**

On the way out	What becomes of it
Performance record	**Established on your own logs: dashboards produced, flags handled, lead times met — the public accountant pays on evidence**

> **A dry-run exit is offered at the end of the first quarter:** half a day, we switch off, we check that the department produces as before, we switch back on. **Senior management knows what the promise is worth before committing a second year.**

To be settled by the authority

Deployment mode chosen (local inference / isolated resource): _____

> **Request a demo with your own documents**
> This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.
> https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/secteur-public/metiers/agent-ia-controleur-de-gestion

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales