



Root cause — the meal allowance, 214 flags in twelve months

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Ferralis & Associés · 9 catering client files · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **Established by replaying twelve months of history**, not by opinion: every figure below is the result of re-running the check over batches already produced.

What the history shows

Finding	Value
« Meal allowance » flags over twelve months	214
Client files concerned	9 , all in catering
Flags confirmed by the officers	6
Flags set aside	208
One useful flag in	36

The cause, and why it produces a discrepancy every month

The « meal allowance » item is attached, in the firm's configuration, to a **single contribution profile**. Yet the 9 files apply **two different regimes** depending on whether the meal is provided or not: 4 files in one case, 5 in the other. A single configuration therefore produces a discrepancy **on 5 files, every month, mechanically**. This is not a repeated data-entry error: **it is a rule that is missing**.

The two profiles proposed

Profile	Condition that triggers it	Files concerned
Allowance — meal not provided	The file has no catering facility and the agreement provides for no meal	5
Allowance — meal provided	The file provides the meal, in kind or by making it available	4

The condition is attached to the CLIENT FILE, not to the item. That displacement is what settles the problem: the item stays single and readable on the payslip, while the contribution rule follows the file.

What the rewritten configuration gives, replayed over twelve months

Measure	Current configuration	Proposed configuration
Flags produced	214	6
Confirmed flags found again	6 of 6	6 of 6
Flags set aside	208	0

Measure	Current configuration	Proposed configuration
Handling time, at 3 minutes per set-aside flag	more than 10 hours a year	none

No confirmed case is lost. That is the only condition on which narrowing a check is worth more than the original check — and it is verified against history, not against intuition.

Going live — reserved to the firm

Configuration approved on _____ by _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.