



Tax receipts to review

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

4 receipts issued since January · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for demonstration. This table concludes nothing.

It matches receipts issued against agreements on file: a starting point for a review, not a verdict.

Date	Donor	Amount	Agreement on file?	Benefits provided for	Resemblance to the Verel case
14/02/2026	Aubel SAS	€3,000	Yes	Logo + 6 invitations	Strong
21/03/2026	Loiret Services	€1,500	Yes	Logo only	Weak
08/05/2026	Bertrand Matériaux	€4,500	Yes	Logo + stand + platform	Strong
19/06/2026	Mareuil Conseil	€800	No	—	None

Two files out of four resemble the Verel case, and a third involves a logo only — which is not the same thing. The fourth has no agreement at all: nothing points to any benefit, and there is probably nothing to review.

What would be flagged in future, on your approval

Trigger	Action	Recipient	What you gain
Receipt requested on a payment tied to a benefits agreement	Flagged before issue	Treasurer	The receipt goes out when you decide — and you know before issuing it, not two years later
Partnership agreement signed	Benefits valued and filed	Treasurer	The agreement stays yours, and its benefits are already valued on file the day someone asks

A flag, not a lock. The association issues what it decides to issue — it simply knows it as it does, which is very different from finding out two years later.

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.