The two draft decisions, reasoned line by line

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

File 2026-04871 · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **Both drafts are written — the one granting and the one refusing — and neither is signed. The outcome belongs to the prefect; the agent supplies both reasoned texts so that the outcome is chosen on what is written.**

What each statement of reasons carries, and why

Element of the reasons	What it contains	What it allows
Considerations of law	**The article relied on, in the version in force at the date of the application, quoted rather than paraphrased**	**The prefect signs on a text, not on a summary**

Element of the reasons	What it contains	What it allows
Considerations of fact	**Every fact retained, with the document in the file that establishes it and its date**	**The applicant challenges on the documents, and the court checks the same documents**
Facts set aside and the reason for setting them aside	What was in the file and was not retained, with the reason	What was examined is visible — one ground of annulment fewer
Remedies and time limits	Competent court, time limit, procedure	Proper notification
Basis of the requirement	**Articles L. 211-2 and L. 211-5 of the code on relations between the public and the administration: the reasons for an adverse individual decision are written and state the considerations of law and fact on which it is based**	**An obligation met by construction, on every draft**

Draft no. 1 — decision to grant (extract from the reasons)

Having regard to the code on the entry and residence of foreign nationals and the right of asylum;
Having regard to the renewal application submitted on 18 August 2026 by Mr Idriss Benyahia, registered under no. 2026-04871;

Whereas the applicant establishes that he is actually pursuing his studies through the enrolment certificate issued on **9 September 2025** and the enrolment confirmation for 2026-2027 issued on **11 July 2026**;
Whereas the applicant establishes residence in the department through proof of address dated **22 July 2026**, the address on which is identical to that stated on the application form;

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Whereas the application was filed on **18 August 2026**, that is before the expiry of the current permit, valid until **14 October 2026**;

Whereas the applicant's resources are established by _____ *(to be completed on return of the document requested on _____)*;

Whereas the genuine and serious character of the course of study is appraised in the light of the transcripts of **3 July 2026** establishing two years passed with no change of course — *appraisal recorded by the case officer, wording to be settled: _____*;

Draft no. 2 — decision to refuse (extract from the reasons)

Whereas, despite the letter of _____ inviting the applicant to produce proof of his resources over three months before **28 September 2026**, that document has not been produced;

Whereas, in the absence of that document, the resources condition cannot be regarded as established;

Whereas, by contrast, the conditions relating to the actual pursuit of studies, to residence and to the filing date are established by the documents in the file referred to above — *these favourable facts are stated because they were examined, and their setting aside must be readable*;

Whereas the applicant may submit a new application as soon as he is able to produce that document;

This decision may be challenged before the competent administrative court within _____ of its notification.

> **Both drafts exist before the outcome is chosen, and that is the point of the method. Writing the decision first and then looking for its reasons produces reasons of circumstance; writing both sets of reasons from the same dated documents puts the choice in front of what the file actually establishes. The prefect signs one of the two, or neither and asks for more.**

The proposed outcome, and its measurement over the year

	Number	Share of the 2,400 pre-assessments	What it says
Proposed outcome retained by the head of office	**2,326**	**97 %**	**The rate is known before deciding whether to display the outcome — you are not betting**
Proposed outcome set aside by the head of office	**74**	**3 %**	**Each listed with the condition on which the readings differ**
Of which: starting point of the filing period for a renewal	*49*	*2 %*	*Correction written and re-run over the 2,400 files: brings the 74 divergences down to 25*
Of which: appraisals referred to the case officer	*25*	*1 %*	*Private and family life, change of status mid-year, non-salaried income for the current year*

The mandate to display the outcome — what it moves, what it does not

	Without a mandate, today	With a mandate	What moves in neither case
The two reasoned drafts	**Written**	**Written**	—
The proposed outcome	**Computed, available on request**	**Displayed at the head of the note**	—

	Without a mandate, today	With a mandate	What moves in neither case
The decision	**Taken and signed by the prefect**	**Taken and signed by the prefect**	**Article 22 of the GDPR: no decision producing legal effects based solely on automated processing**
The parameter itself	—	Settled by the head of office, dated, recorded in the log	**Withdrawable with a word, with no development**

What reasons written in advance save at the signature folder

Step	Before	On pre-assessed files	What explains it
From the office to the prefect's signature	**11 days**	**2 days**	**The considerations are written, the documents dated and the deadline counted: there is nothing to reconstruct**
Returns from the signature folder for insufficient reasons	9 % of files	**1 %**	Every consideration of fact points to a document and its date
Nine days returned per file	—	—	**Taken off the clock that is running, not off the examination**

Mandate to display the proposed outcome — granted / refused, by the head of the residence office, on: _____

Entry into force of the correction on the starting point of the filing period: _____

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Request a demo with your own documents

This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.

https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/secteur-public/metiers/agent-ia-agent-prefecture

---

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.