



Year report — 6,342 hours returned

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Twelve months of service, item by item · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The measurement bears on files, deadlines and letters, never on what a named officer does. Statutory hours basis: 35 hours a week, 1,607 hours a year. Roundings are made downwards and stated as such.**

Time returned, item by item

Item	Before	After	Annual volume	Time returned
Completeness check of a permit file	60 % of the file's time — 15 min	10 % — 2 min 30	9,600 files	2,000 hours
Letter requesting a missing document	40 % — 8 min	10 % — 2 min	3,100 letters	310 hours
Full pre-assessment of a residence file	100 % — 48 min	20 % — 9 min 36	2,400 files	1,536 hours

Item	Before	After	Annual volume	Time returned
Subtotal of the three items quantified by the page	—	—	—	3,846 hours
<i>Answering an applicant on the progress of their file</i>	<i>8 min</i>	<i>1 min</i>	<i>21,400 enquiries</i>	<i>2,496 hours</i>
Total	—	—	—	6,342 hours

What 6,342 hours represent: on the statutory basis of 35 hours a week, **more than one hundred and eighty-one weeks**; against 1,607 hours, **three full working years and more than forty-three weeks on top. No post has been cut and none created:** these are 6,342 hours of case officer time returned to the files that require analysis and to the applicants who need a person in front of them. **The figure in hours remains the reference; the conversion illustrates it, it does not replace it.**

What the office did with them — the service's results

Measure	Before	After twelve months	What explains it
Incomplete files in the backlog	3,100	384	Document request written within twelve hours of an incomplete filing
Implied refusals arising from a forgotten file	51	0	Nightly counting of the period specific to each application, flagged six weeks ahead
Written reply time to an applicant	19 days	Under a minute	Answer on the applicant's channel, from the file read-only

Measure	Before	After twelve months	What explains it
Wait for a residence desk appointment	47 days	36 days	2,853 slots returned: 1,610 wrongly booked, 1,243 no-shows avoided
From the office to the prefect's signature	11 days	2 days	Reasons written, documents dated, deadline counted — nothing to reconstruct
Receipt of an association declaration	6.4 days, 118 beyond five days	1.2 days, 0 beyond five days	Check on arrival and receipt written with the list of annexed documents
Files put back on their real deadline after the Conseil d'État opinion on receipts	—	96	A receipt does not prevent the implied refusal

The figure that does not flatter the agent — 74 outcome divergences

Cause of the divergence	Number	What explains it	What was done
Starting point of the filing period for a renewal	49	The agent took the online filing date; the service note of 12 January 2026 takes the date on which the file is complete	Correction written and re-run over the 2,400 files: the 74 divergences fall to 25
Appraisal of private and family life	11	An appraisal, not a check	Referred to the case officer, with the dated facts gathered
Change of status mid-academic-year	8	Two possible readings of the service note	Flagged for the head of office to settle

Cause of the divergence	Number	What explains it	What was done
Non-salaried income for the current year	6	Heterogeneous documents, no written rule	Flagged to be settled
Total	74 out of 2,400 — 3 %	—	25 after correction

The three automatic actions, and how each one undoes itself

Action	What it does unasked	What it does not do	How it undoes itself
Missing-document letter	Written within twelve hours of an incomplete filing	It is not sent: the signature stays with the head of office	A service parameter, withdrawable with a word
Two appointment reminders, at 72 h and 24 h	Go out on their own	They stop that second as soon as the applicant confirms	An applicant who asks not to be contacted on a channel is removed from it immediately, with no effect on their file
Nightly counting of assessment deadlines	Flags files expiring within six weeks to the portfolio case officer	It files nothing away and decides nothing	The six-week threshold is a parameter settled by the office

Who decides what

Act	Who	What the agent brings
-----	-----	-----------------------

Grant or refusal of a permit	The prefect — signature	Both reasoned drafts, considerations of law and fact written line by line
Settling the draft decision before signature	The head of the residence office	The proposed outcome and its measured confirmation rate — 97 % across 2,400 files
Validating each pre-assessment	The portfolio case officer	The completeness check, the conditions established, those referred to appraisal
Letter templates and sending order	The deputy head of office	Five written templates, their plain-language version, and the number of files each unblocks
Receipt of an association declaration	The prefect, the sub-prefect or their delegate	The receipt written with the list of annexed documents, dated, ready to sign
Policing measure on a demonstration	The prefect	The briefing note and the reasoned draft, with dated facts and precedents
Record of processing and subject access requests	The data protection officer	The record-of-processing sheet kept up to date and the log extraction in one minute
And the agent	—	It reads, matches, counts, writes and quantifies: 6,342 hours returned, 0 automated decisions, 0 outcomes displayed without a written mandate

0 permits granted and 0 refused without a signature across the 12,000 files of the year, and 0 transfers of data outside the European Union. These are not safeguards bolted on afterwards: they are the organisation of the service itself, and that is what makes every decision defensible before the administrative court and before the applicant.

The three quantified avenues for the coming year

Proposal	What it changes	Volume concerned	Decision
Extend the arrival check to temporary drinks licences and sporting events	Same mechanics as associations and demonstrations, nothing to install	480 files a year	_____
Publish on the website that the eight most requested procedures, in plain language	The answer arrives before the applicant writes	71 % of the 26,800 enquiries	_____
Open the automatic waiting list on released slots	A released slot is offered within the minute to the three applicants whose deadline expires soonest	1,243 slots taken up within two hours over the past year	_____

Report presented to the secretary general on: _____

« Who decides what » table annexed to the residence office service note, on: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.