Substantive corrections — 214, then 20

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 09/11/2026

14.5 % → 2.4 % after the shelfmarking rules were written · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example
This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **This is the figure the agent publishes about itself, and it is better that it comes from the agent than from a reader who finds nothing.** It is given with its measured cause, what was done, and the following quarter.

The first quarter

Measure	Value	Share
Records prepared	**1,480**	100 %
Corrected on substance before entry	**214**	**14.5 %**
of which documents with no structured publisher reference	**168**	**78 % of the corrections**
of which departures from the classification plan	46	22 % of the corrections

The 168 corrections caused by the absence of a publisher reference

Type of document	Number	What was wrong
Local collection and association publishing	**61**	**Subject indexing modelled on a work with a neighbouring title — and a neighbouring title is not the same subject**
Self-publishing	48	Same cause
Small children's publishers	39	Same cause
Leaflets and ephemera	20	Same cause

What was done — two corrections, not a patch

Measure	Effect
A field not read stays empty and named, never deduced	**On a document with no publisher reference, indexing is handed back as an explicit proposal, with a note of what is missing — the head of cataloguing decides in thirty seconds instead of undoing indexing that looked certain**
The 30 shelfmarking rules written from the 34,000 records	**The second cause disappeared outright: the two-level children's shelfmarking in particular, which nothing documented**

The following quarter

Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Change
Records prepared	1,480	820	—
Substantive corrections	**214 — 14.5 %**	**20 — 2.4 %**	**−194**
of which departures from the classification plan	46	**0**	**The cause has gone, not merely the symptom**

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Change
Corrections on proposals explicitly flagged as such	—	**20 out of 20**	**All corrected before entry into the catalogue**

The protection that matters for the catalogue

Indicator	Year
Records prepared	**3,500**
Approved by a person before entry	**3,500**
Corrections made before entry	**234 out of 234**
Corrections made after entry	**0**

Right indexing is what makes a document findable ten years later. That is exactly what an expert's reading is for — **and why it is not delegated.**

> **The next step is already prepared:** documents with no publisher reference form a separate queue, presented in batches of ten, **with records of comparable documents already approved alongside. 14 of the 20 corrections in the second quarter fell into that case.**

For the media library to decide

Chosen frequency of the substantive corrections report: _____

> **Request a demo with your own documents**
> This document is a fictitious example. To see the agent work on your own documents, request a demo from its page.
> https://bluelemonagent.ai/en/secteur-public/metiers/agent-ia-agent-bibliotheque

LINDBERGH FORMATION — Blue Lemon Agent — Companies register (RCS) Évry 817 946 114 —
Legal notice (in French): bluelemonagent.ai/mentions-legales

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.