



Alerts and order of play — the week of the 16th to the 20th

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

3 deadlines, one firm · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for demonstration. The clash was invisible in any of the three files taken alone: **it appears in the firm view**, which brings together what the legal department expects from a firm and what that firm expects from it.

The week as it stood

File	Deadline	Firm	Material already assembled
File A	16th	Same firm	78 %
File B	18th	Same firm	54 %
File C	18th	Same firm	31 %

The order of play proposed

Rank	File	Quantified reason	What is ready
------	------	-------------------	---------------

1	File A	78 % of the material assembled	Concordance and exhibits ready
2	File B	54 %, same deadline	Chronology delivered, exhibits to settle
3	File C	31 %, same deadline	Extension request written, grounds and dates proposed

The alert arrangement

Threshold	Recipients	Content of the alert
D-30	Lawyer and counsel	Deadline, file, state of the material assembled
D-15	Lawyer and counsel	Same, with what is still to come, by name
D-5	Lawyer, counsel and head of legal	Same, with the proposed order of play
D-2	Same	Reminder for as long as the deadline is not marked handled

Over the last six months, no deadline across the 23 files has arrived without having been announced three times, and twelve fell due. The thresholds are set by the legal department and change the same day.

Extension requested for file C — dates proposed: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.