



Two lunches on the same day — the question put

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for demonstration; employees are shown by a letter.
No fraud is alleged: four explanations are possible and the most mundane comes first.

Element	Receipt A	Receipt B
Date	14/07/2026	14/07/2026
Time	12.40	13.05
Amount	€18	€21
Establishment	Restaurant 1	Restaurant 2
Distance apart	—	400 m

The four possible explanations

Explanation	Observed frequency	What would confirm it
Meal paid for a third party, not identified	The most frequent	The third party's name
Filing error, same receipt photographed twice	Frequent	Comparing the two images
Lunch cut short and resumed elsewhere	Rare	The employee's account
Improper claim	Rare	The absence of an explanation

The message sent to the employee, as it stands

"Two restaurant receipts appear on 14/07; was this a meal with a third party? If so, please say who — the policy provides for it."

The message recalls that the policy provides for the case. That is what turns a potentially awkward question into a formality: the employee does not have to justify themselves, they have to complete a piece of information.

The order of steps, and why

Order applied	Reverse order
1. Question to the employee	1. Escalation to the approver
2. Answer — matter closed 9 times in 10	2. The approver opens a file
3. Escalation only if no answer	3. The employee learns they were discussed

Escalating first turns a thirty-second question into a file. And an opened file leaves a trace, even when it closes on a mundane explanation.

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.