



Access perimeters — 41 spaces, 186 accounts

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Three accounts, one question, three answers · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The access perimeter is the exact list of spaces a person can already open with their account.** The agent has no other one: **it queries the six sources ON BEHALF of whoever asks the question**, and opens no access of its own accord.

The same question asked by three different accounts

Account	What comes back on “what is the year-end bonus policy?”	What does not come back
Workshop supervisor	The intranet note open to everyone, with its last-modified date	Nothing else exists for him — and he does not know anything else exists
Head of human resources	The intranet note + 2 internal notes from the HR space + the company agreement	The budget framing note from the executive space

Account	What comes back on “what is the year-end bonus policy?”	What does not come back
Managing director	All of the above + the budget framing note	—

Three different answers to the same question, and that is intended. No member of staff sees anything more than today: **what changes is that they finally find what they are already entitled to.**

Your access rights hold on their own — that is an argument, not a setting

Point	What happens	What you gain
Opening an access	Stays with your administrators	Widening a perimeter belongs to the administrators of each space, and the agent has no technical power to do it: you roll out without reopening the debate on rights
The perimeter of a confidential document	Held, summaries included	A summary of a confidential document is a confidential document: you connect the agent to your sensitive spaces without exposing them
Flagging that an answer sits in a closed space	Yes — the path, never the content	“A document answers your question in the HR space; its owner is the head of human resources” — the employee gets their answer in one request instead of a blind search
Writing to a source	Technically out of reach	The technical read account has no write permission: your reference data cannot be altered, and that is sturdier than a promise

The inventory of the 41 spaces, put side by side for the first time

Family of spaces	Number	Accounts entitled	Observation
Sites and contracts	18	162	Broadly open, in line with internal policy
Design office	7	51	In line
Purchasing and suppliers	5	23	In line
Quality	4	17	In line
Human resources	4	9	Closed, and they stay closed
Executive	3	5	Closed, and they stay closed
Total	41	186 distinct accounts	including 7 spaces whose rights are broader than internal policy provides for

The 7 spaces to review before go-live

Space	Gap found	Since	What is proposed
Site archives 2014-2018	Open to every account, subcontracting agreements included	Server migration, 2019	Restrict to the 38 design office accounts and the 26 after-sales accounts
Management reviews	Open to 22 accounts instead of 5	Creation of the space	Bring back to 5
Live tenders	Open to the accounts of 3 people who have left the company	11, 19 and 26 months	Close the accounts
<i>... and 4 further spaces, set out in the full table</i>	Same nature: legacy of a server migration	—	Review by the head of information systems

These 7 gaps existed before the agent, and nobody had seen them because nobody had put them side by side. They are not created by unified search: **they are revealed by it**, and it is one of the benefits Ventaline had not asked for.

For Ventaline to settle

Date of the review of the 41 spaces by the head of information systems: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.