



Supplier sheet — what the company already knows

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

Delmont Ventilation · 11 facts, 5 sources, 3 unknown to purchasing · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **Every fact carries its source and its date**. The sheet brings together what Ventaline already knows about its supplier — **it concludes nothing and recommends no change**: the decision belongs to the head of purchasing.

What purchasing already knew

Fact	Value	Source
Framework contract	Running, expires in 5 months	Purchasing file repository
Business volume	€2.1 M over three years, 41 orders	Order history
Pricing terms	Discount grid by volume tier	Framework contract, pricing schedule

What the company knew elsewhere — and purchasing did not have

Fact	Value	Where it lived	Why it matters in negotiation
Non-conformities opened in eighteen months on series 200 motors	4, of which 2 with late-delivery penalties applied	Quality tool — never passed to purchasing	An applied penalty is a pricing argument already on record
Design office recommendation	No longer specify above 8,000 m³/h, for want of an acoustic test record at that flow rate	Internal note from 2024, design office document management	It caps the forecast volumes
Spare-parts lead time	22 days on average, against 9 days at their direct competitor	After-sales tickets	The figure that costs the most in maintenance, and it appeared in no negotiation

The eleven facts, by source

Source	Facts contributed
Purchasing framework contracts	3
Quality tool	4
Design office document management	2
After-sales tickets	1
Intranet — supplier review minutes	1
Total	11 facts, 5 sources

What is missing to settle it — and where to get it

The conclusion expected	What is missing, and what I do with it the moment you open it to me	Who decides
"You should change supplier"	Forecast volumes, competitors' prices as of today, the substance of the last review: all three exist at your end, and the sheet takes them in as soon as they are opened to me	The head of purchasing
A supplier risk score	A weighting Ventaline has never written down: I propose one, quantified on the 11 facts already gathered, and it applies to the 60 suppliers the day it is adopted	The head of purchasing, if she wants to write one

What can be generalised as soon as Ventaline asks

Measure	Volume	Finding
Active suppliers	60	One sheet per supplier, regenerated at every renewal
Sheets drawn up as a trial	6	4 carried at least one fact known to one department and unknown to purchasing

The next step proposed: hold the January supplier review with these sheets in hand, and pass quality non-conformities to purchasing as soon as they are opened. That is a connection, not a project — both sources are already indexed.

For Ventaline to settle

Suppliers whose sheet is to be drawn up first: _____

encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.