



Comparison scope — the rules applied

LINDBERGH FORMATION — 16 rue de Maillé, 91310 Montlhéry, France · Companies register (RCS) Evry 817 946 114

Document issued on 24/09/2026

4 attribution windows brought to one · fictional document

Fictional document — demonstration example

This document is produced by the Blue Lemon Agent website to illustrate what an AI agent hands to its users. The companies, matters, amounts and people in it are invented. It has no legal, accounting or commercial value.

Fictional document, produced for the demonstration. **The comparison scope is the period, the campaigns, the platforms and the counting rule applied on both sides of a comparison.** Without it, two figures that differ prove nothing — and a budget decision taken on them cannot be defended.

The four settings found, and what they made the same euros say

Platform	Attribution window found	Effect on the figure claimed	Rule retained
Social platform	7 days post-click + 1 day post-view	+184 orders credited with no click at all	7 days post-click — post-view moves to a separate line
Search engine	28 days post-click	+31 % orders against the same campaign measured at 7 days	7 days post-click

Platform	Attribution window found	Effect on the figure claimed	Rule retained
Video platform	7 days post-click	None — already on the retained scope	7 days post-click
Affiliation	30 days post-click	Overlaps the other three on long baskets	7 days post-click

Deduplication — why we reconcile on the order, not on the click

Situation	What the platforms do	What is retained
An order touched by two platforms	Each claims it in full	Counted once, naming the platforms that were disputing it
An order touched by three platforms	Counted three times	Counted once — 41 cases in the month
An order with no advertising click	Sometimes credited post-view	Taken out of the reference figure, kept on a separate line
Monthly total	1,402 claimed	1,150 retained — 252 duplicates removed

What every difference now carries

Statement shown	Why it is there
The two periods compared, with their dates	Two periods of different length do not compare
The campaigns and platforms on both sides	A difference measured on different scopes is not a difference
The attribution window applied	It is the setting that moves a figure most

Statement shown	Why it is there
The presence or absence of a promotional operation	Correction applied after the quarter's error — promotional weeks are excluded from the reference calculation
The volume, not only the rate	A rate without volume does not say whether money should go there

An observation on the existing practice, with no reproach: of the last 6 trade-offs recorded in the monthly spreadsheet, **4 compared two periods of different length**. The conclusion may have been right — it was not demonstrated, and it would not have held up in front of the executive board.

For the marketing director to set

Reference attribution window for all trade-offs: _____

Scope of this document: the service operated by Blue Lemon Agent. For an agent deployed at your premises, the applicable location is that of the architecture set out in the quotation and verified before commissioning; local execution is announced only for the configuration explicitly described and accepted in the quotation; the applicable isolation depends on the deployment mode set out in the quotation, no dedicated isolation being presumed; the encryption mechanisms in transit and at rest, their components and key management are those documented for the architecture chosen; roles and permissions are configured and accepted for the identities and systems actually connected; the events logged, their content, their retention period and who may access them are defined for the deployment chosen.